Security for Mobile Objects

Will Harwood



A mobile object is some code



A mobile object is some code that carries a state





A mobile object is some code that carries a state that lives on a host





A mobile object is some code that carries a state that lives on a host that visits places







A mobile object is some code that carries a state that lives on a host that visits places which is let in when trusted



A mobile object is some code that carries a state that lives on a host that visits places which is let in when trusted and barred when untrusted



A mobile object is some code that carries a state that lives on a host that visits places which is let in when trusted and barred when untrusted and will refuse to go to untrustworthy places

Mobile objects can talk to their friends





Mobile objects can talk to their friends but only by co-operation of the hosts





Mobile objects can talk to their friends but only by co-operation of the hosts. In fact they expose their keys to their host.



Mobile objects can talk to their friends but only by co-operation of the hosts. In fact they expose their keys to their host. Which means a host can fake communication from an object even when the object has left.





Mobile objects carry secrets and promises A between hosts State variables constitute communication channels between host Use of these channels is governed by a policy 15 © 1998 ANSA Consortium

A

Mobile objects carry secrets and promises between hosts State variables constitute communication channels between host Use of these channels is governed by a policy

B

A

Mobile objects carry secrets and promises between hosts State variables constitute communication channels between host Use of these channels is governed by a policy













Access Control Matrices

- Access control matrices define a set of secure transitions in a system
- A system is secure if it starts in a secure initial state and only applies secure transitions
- A state is secure if it was obtained starting from the secure initial state and applying a sequence of secure transitions



Secure Transition System



In a secure state and fransition pre condition holds go to new secure state



Problem

 In general it is not possible to look at a given state and know that it is secure without looking at how that state was constructed.



Solutions

- Find a way of imposing the pre conditions without the consent of the hosts
 - cryptographically enforced pre conditions
- Translate pre conditions into post conditions that can be checked at subsequent hosts
 - integrity post conditions
 - history conditions



Cryptographic Enforcement

- Read access to variables can be restricted by encryption of the variables contents. Read access is only granted to those hosts with keys
- Read access can be separated from write access by use of asymmetric encryption



- Cryptographic signing can be used to ensure that only legitimate writers have altered a variable
 - Anonymously signed by any writer
 - can use asymmetric encryption if the data is self validating (otherwise use separate signing)



- Cryptographic signing can be used to ensure that only legitimate writers have altered a variable
 - Anonymously signed by any writer
 - can use asymmetric encryption if the data is self validating (otherwise use separate signing)





- Cryptographic signing can be used to ensure that only legitimate writers have altered a variable
 - Anonymously signed by any writer
 - can use asymmetric encryption if the data is self validating (otherwise use separate signing)



- Cryptographic signing can be used to ensure that only legitimate writers have altered a variable
 - Anonymously signed by any writer
 - can use asymmetric encryption if the data is self validating (otherwise use separate signing)



Promises

- Hosts commit themselves to the value of a variable or group of variables by signing.
- Commitments may be conditional on other hosts commitments remaining valid.



Replays



Signing

5678

V is bound to 5678 in object 102497 with code hash 789654 and policy hash 1010156754 at point XX in the itinerary signed Will today

i.e. object is created with unique object number and signing is based on the static part of the object and the point that the signing happens in the objects history.



Unwinding



Piggy-in-the-Middle



Best Branch



Histories

Nested signing can be used to record where an object has been and host can check that variable signing is consistent with the object's history provided there are no branches in the object's path

Audit and Sequence Server



A Little Language of Behaviour

- Object movement is described by a finite state machine
 - itineraries: h;k, h+k, h[action], skip
- Each "state" corresponds to a visit to a host where the object performs actions
 - updates: !!x, !x, ^x, <update,...,update>
 - checks: h?x, h?<x,y,z>
 - conditional updates: $H?x,k?y \rightarrow <!!x,!y>$



Information Gatherer



Information Gatherer

let itinerary = $(P_1 + ... + P_n)$ [^*stack*]; *itinerary* + Skip in home; itinerary; home home 42 © 1998 ANSA Consortium

Information Gatherer



Lottery

Lottery Registrary



Ticket Seller





Client







Lottery



Lottery Behaviour

TS; Client; TR[<!TN,!Bet>]; Client[TR?<TN,Bet>]



Lottery Behaviour

TS; Client; TR[<!TN,!Bet>]; Client[TR?<TN,Bet>]





Payment Access Matrix

	order	amount	order number	Purchaser's account	Merchant's account
Purchaser	W	W		W	
Merchant	R	R	R/W		W
Purchaser's Bank		R	R	R	
Merchant's Bank		R	R		R
	\			52 © 1998 ANSA Cons	ortium

Payment Behaviour

P[<<!!order,!!amount,!!PurchaserAccount>]; M[P?<order,amount,PurchaserAccount> → <!order,!amount,!!OrderNumber,!!MerchantAccount>>]; PB[M?<order,account,OrderNumber,MerchantAccount>, P?<order,amount,PurchaserAccount> → <!amount,!OrderNumber>];

MB[*M*?<*amount*,*OrderNumber*,*MerchantAccount*>, *PB*?<*amount*,*OrderNumber*> → <!*amount*,!*OrderNumber*>];

M[MB?<amount,OrderNumber>]

