InformationSpace Design

ANSA Technical Committee 9 April 1998 Douglas Donaldson, APM Ltd. (presented by Andrew Herbert).



Research Goals

- MOW gives migration transparency ('Mobile Objects')
- InformationSpace will give persistence transparency ('Robust Objects')
 - Persistence of the server will be transparent to both the client and the server (with caveats).
 - It aims to allow migration and replication of robust objects...
 - ... with the choices of server behaviour configured in middleware using FlexiNet binding.





Immediate Requirements for FollowMe

- Agents cannot be relied on to deliver results
 - Their host or network may crash
- They need a service allowing information to be stored reliably
- For example, the Newspaper Application would benefit from additional transparencies
 - Both news gathering agents ('personal editors') and news delivery agents ('paper boys/girls') would gain performance benefits from transparent replication of news information objects.



Simple Black Box Model

 InformationSpace as an abstraction of a file system

void copyInto(String name,	<pre>write(filename, buffer);</pre>
Object obj)	
<pre>Object copyOut(String name);</pre>	<pre>buffer = read(filename);</pre>
<pre>void remove(String name);</pre>	<pre>delete(filename);</pre>
<pre>String[] listNames();</pre>	list();

- InformationSpace prevents conflicting copyIntos
- Objects copied by value (FlexiNet model)
- Extensible with locking, permission modes,...
- Client manages copies, names, versioning



State Model for Atomic Write



Less Simple White Box Mode

InformationSpace as an Object Database

Interface newStorable(String name, Class class, Object[]args); Interface lookup(String name); Object copyOut(String name); void remove(String name);

- The Storable Objects are encapsulated behind the IS
- The Storable is just a (remote) object to clients
- The Storable is (nearly) an arbitrary data type
 - so the White Box Model subsumes the Black Box Model
- The InformationSpace prevents conflicts and more...



Storable Example

- public interface List {
 public void insertAtHead(Object object);
 public Object removeFromTail();
 };
- listRef = infospace newStorable(ListImpl.class, fiszt");
- listRef.insertAtHead("item");
- The InformationSpace keeps the List persistent
 Transparent to the client using listRef, and to List itself





The Encapsulation Allows Hooks



- Server Side:
 - Persistence
 - Concurrency Control
 - Transactions
- Client Side:
 - Failure Recovery
 - Migration Transparency
 - Replication Transparency



Default Persistence



- Constraints on Storable:
 - Serializable Somehow
 - Well Behaved



Advanced Persistence



- Cleverness such as:
 - Incremental write of changes to Storable
 - Stable store
 - Versioning
 - Rollback



Default Concurrency Control



- Given the default persistence model, and unknown concurrency semantics for vulnerable, all methods must be invoked sequentially.
 - Policy for mutual exclusion could be:
 - Fair (no starvation)
 - Unfair (possible starvation)



Advanced Concurrency Control



- Use knowledge about read only methods
 - Allow concurrent reads, only save state back after changes.
- Cleverer scheduling according to:
 - Vulnerable's state
 - Client priority
 - Method parameters
 - Invocation history



- Client must have some transactional awareness:
 - begin, end, abort_transaction



Default Failure Recovery

- When a host restarts, it restores its InformationSpaces, which contain persistant objects.
- The clients' FlexiNet references to the IS and Storables become invalid.
- The client has to rebind using a NameServer and / or:
 - interfaceRef = infospace.lookup(obj name);



Advanced Failure Recovery

- The client-side interface reference is to a 'clever' stub which:
 - Encapsulates enough information to automatically rebind on failure
 - Attempts to rebind the FlexiNet reference after failure
 - Less work for the application programmer
- Existing migration transparency mechanisms can be used
 - Restart after failure is like restart after movement



Migration Transparency

- A Client (or for transparency, a clever client stub) may request that a Storable *FollowsMe*.
 - void move(String name, InformationSpace ispace);
- FollowMe migration transparency would need augmented with:
 - Reliable movement protocol
 - Reliable MobileName servers

Replication Transparency

• Clients (or for transparency, client stubs) may request that a Storable *FollowsThem*.

is.replicate(objname, iss);



 Requires a consistency model for operations on InformationSpaces and Storables

18 © 1998 ANSA Consortium

MetaObjects are Owners and Managers

- The MetaObjects in the previous diagrams act as persistence, concurrecy control, transaction, migration and replication managers.
- Generalising, a managed object needs an *owner* with vested interested in the object's behaviour
 - The owner's policy can also control access, lifespan, resource usage, etc.
- Owners can be a 'group object' for 'dumb' data
- 'Smart' objects may own themselves



Default Component Configuration



- The StoreManager can create Stores with different policies.
- The policy covers all the Storables in the Store
 20 © 1998 ANSA Consortium

MobileObjects vs Storables

- A Storable is like a Cluster, but is not a kind of Cluster. Both rely on
 - Encapsulation
 - Thread management
 - The conditions for a Storable to be stored are the same as those for a MobileObject to be moved
 - Clever interface references returned on creation
- There are differences too
 - Storables are not autonomous / active
- The symmetry is not enforced



Integration with FlexiNet

- newStorable() can put the Store (Meta Object) into the CallControlLayer
- The InformationSpace is then integrated into FlexiNet as an example of Binding, rather than horizontally separated
- Similarly, suitably clever stubs can be built into the client side



Progress

- Black Box model finished, end March 1998
- White Box model (transparent store of arbitrary data types) due April 1998
- Future work probably on replication of read only information

